## LOGIC EXERCISES – DAY 10

**Exercise 1.** For the purposes of this problem, you are allowed to assume Gödel's Second Incompleteness theorem, i.e.  $PA \nvdash \text{Con}(PA)$ , where  $Con(PA)$  is a sentence in the language of arithmetic encoding "PA is consistent" in the natural way.  $(\text{Con}(PA) \equiv \neg \text{Provable}_{PA}(\ulcorner \bot \urcorner). )$ 

- (a) Prove that there is a nonstandard model  $\mathfrak N$  of PA and an a nonstandard element a of the underlying set of  $\mathfrak N$  which is definable.
- (b) Prove that there is a nonstandard model  $\mathfrak{N} \models \mathsf{PA}$  without a proper elementary substructure.

Exercise 2. Prove that there is a partial recursive function which has no total recursive extension, i.e., there is  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there is no total recursive  $f \supset \varphi_e$ .

**Exercise 3.** Recall that a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is  $\Pi_2^0$  iff we may write  $A(x) \iff$  $\forall y \exists z R(x, y, z)$  for some recursive  $R \subseteq \mathbb{N}^3$ . A set  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is called  $\Pi_2^0$ complete iff B is  $\Pi_2^0$  and for all  $\Pi_2^0$  sets A,  $A \leq_1 B$ , i.e. there exists a 1-1 total recursive function  $f: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in A \iff f(x) \in B$ . Prove that Tot = { $e \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $\varphi_e$  is total} is  $\Pi_2^0$ -complete.

The following two problems make use of Kleene's Second Recursion The*orem*, which states that if  $f(e, \vec{x})$  is a partial recursive function then there exists  $e_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi_{e_0}(\vec{x}) = f(e_0, \vec{x})$  for all  $\vec{x}$ .

**Exercise 4.** Suppose that  $f$  is a total recursive function. Prove or give a counter-example to each of the following:

- (a) There is an e such that  $W_{f(e)} = \{e\}.$
- (b) There is an e such that  $W_e = \{f(e)\}.$

**Exercise 5.** Let  $f(e)$  be a partial recursive function such that for all e,

$$
W_e = \emptyset \implies f(e) \downarrow
$$

Prove that there is some m such that  $W_m = \{m\}$  and  $f(m) \downarrow$ .

**Exercise 6.** For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$  let  $A_a = \{b \in \mathbb{N} : (a, b) \in A\}.$ 

- (a) Let A be recursively enumerable and suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that  $|A_a| = n$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that A is recursive.
- (b) For every pair  $n > m$  of natural numbers, give an example of an r.e. set A such that for all  $a A_a$  has size n or size m, but A is not recursive.

Date: 3 July 2015.

Exercise 7. Instead of finding monochromatic sets, you might try looking for polychromatic ones. Suppose that  $[N]^2$  is colored (using infinitely many colors) in a way that is  $k$ -bounded, meaning that each color is used at most  $k$  times. Prove that there is an infinite fully polychromatic set X, i.e., a set X such that on pairs of elements of X each color is used at most once.

Exercise 8. This exercise gives a different (possibly more tangible) way to think about the non-axiomatizability of wellfoundedness. (See  $#5$ from Tuesday's set.) For  $f, g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  define  $f \leq^* g$  if  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) >$  $g(n)$  is finite. That is,  $f \leq^* g$  means that  $f(n) \leq g(n)$  for cofinitely many n. Say  $f \leq^* g$  if  $f(n) < g(n)$  for cofinitely many n. (Note that  $f \leq^* g$  doesn't simply mean that  $f \leq^* g$  and  $f \neq g$ .)

- (a) Find a sequence  $f_0, f_1, \ldots$  of functions  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  that is  $\lt^*$ -decreasing:  $f_0 >^* f_1 >^* f_2 >^* \cdots$
- (b) Let  $A = (N, \leq)$  be the ordered structure of natural numbers. Let U be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $\omega$  and form the ultrapower  $\mathbf{A}^{\omega}/U$ . (Recall that this means the ultraproduct of structures  $M_i$  where  $M_i = A$  for every i.) Use your answer to part (a) to give an explicit strictly decreasing sequence in the ultrapower  $(\mathbf{A}^{\omega}/U, \langle \mathbf{A}^{\omega}/U \rangle)$ . Of course, by Los's theorem this ultrapower is elementarily equivalent to A, so deduce again that the class of wellorders is not axiomatizable.