## LOGIC PROBLEM SET (THE LAST!) – DAY 13

**Exercise 1** (Disjunctive and prenex normal forms).

(a) Prove that every  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\phi$  is logically equivalent (i.e., proved by the empty  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory to be equivalent to) a formula of the form

$$\phi \equiv Q_1 x_1 \cdots Q_n x_n \, \phi^*,$$

where  $\phi^*$  is quantifier-free, each  $Q_i$  is either  $\exists$  or  $\forall$ , and the free variables of  $\phi^*$  are among the free variables of  $\phi$ .

(b) Prove that every quantifier-free formula is logically equivalent to a formula of the form

$$\bigvee_{i \le m} \bigwedge_{j \le n_i} \phi_{i,j},$$

where each  $\phi_{i,j}$  is an atomic formula or the negation of an atomic formula. (Think of polynomials.  $\wedge$  is like multiplication and  $\vee$  is like addition, and you're collecting like terms to put a polynomial in standard form. But this doesn't really help for the proof.)

Exercise 2. Prove that every infinite poset contains an infinite chain or infinite antichain.

**Exercise 3.** Let F be a field and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the language of F-vector spaces: it has a binary function symbol +, a unary function symbol -, a constant symbol 0, and for each  $\alpha \in F$  a unary function symbol  $\mu_{\alpha}$  for scalar multiplication by  $\alpha$ . (So elements of the structure will be vectors — you don't have scalars *and* vectors with relations distinguishing them.) Show that the  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory of infinite F-vector spaces admits qe. Deduce that this theory is complete.

**Exercise 4.** Prove that DLO admits qe and is complete.

## Exercise 5.

- (a) Show that  $(\mathbb{R}, 0, +)$  admits qe.
- (b) Show that  $(\mathbb{Q}, 0, +)$  is an elementary substructure of  $(\mathbb{R}, 0, +)$ .
- (c) Is  $(\mathbb{Q}, 0, +, \cdot)$  an elementary substructure of  $(\mathbb{R}, 0, +, \cdot)$ ?

**Exercise 6** (Reflection plus compactness doesn't imply Con(ZFC)).

**Theorem** (Reflection, weak form). For every sentence  $\sigma$  in the language of set theory, the following is a theorem of ZFC: there is a set M (in fact, M can be taken to be  $V_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha$ ) such that  $(M, \in) \models \sigma$  iff  $V \models \sigma$ , that is, iff  $\sigma$  is true in the universe.

Since ZFC is true in the universe, for every sentence of ZFC there is a set model of that sentence. It follows that every finite subset of ZFC has a set model. Explain this, and explain why it does *not* follow from Compactness that  $ZFC \vdash Con(ZFC)$ . (Good thing, since that would contradict Kurt's Second Incompleteness Theorem.)

How might you prove Reflection?

**Exercise 7.** Let K be a field and let  $\overline{K}$  be the algebraic closure of K. A nonconstant polynomial  $f \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is called **irreducible** if whenever f = gh for some  $g, h \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , either  $\deg(g) = 0$  or  $\deg(h) = 0$ . Furthermore, f is called **absolutely irreducible** if it is irreducible over the algebraic closure, i.e., in  $\overline{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

Date: Wednesday, 8 July 2015.

E.g. the polynomial  $x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  is irreducible, but it is not absolutely irreducible, since  $x^2 + 1 = (x + i)(x - i)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ . On the other hand,  $xy - 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$  is absolutely irreducible. Let  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and prove the following:

**Theorem** (Noether–Ostrowski Irreducibility Theorem). For  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and a prime p, let  $f_p$  denote the polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  obtained by applying the canonical map  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  to the coefficients of f (i.e., modding out the coefficients by p). For all  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , f is absolutely irreducible (as an element of  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ ) iff  $f_p$  is absolutely irreducible (as an element of  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ ) for cofinitely many p.

*Hint:* Your proof should be shorter than the statement of the problem. Apparently the original algebraic proof was fairly complicated.

**Exercise 8** (random graph). Let  $\sigma_n$  be the assertion that, if X and Y are disjoint sets of vertices both of cardinality  $\leq n$ , then there is a vertex x not in  $X \cup Y$  adjacent to every member of X and to no member of Y. Let  $T = \{\sigma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

- (a) Convince yourself that  $\sigma_n$  can be written as a sentence in the language of graphs.
- (b) Prove that there is a countable model of T and that any two countable models of T are isomorphic. (This is a set of axioms for the random graph.)
- (c) Prove that the random graph (i.e., a countable model of the axioms  $\sigma_n$  above) includes every finite graph as a full subgraph. (Recall that G is a **full** subgraph of H if the embedding  $G \to H$  is a strong homomorphism.)
- (d) Prove that the theory of the random graph admits qe.

This theory axiomatizes the "almost-sure" theory of finite graphs. That is, every sentence in the language of graphs is, among finite graphs, asymptotically almost-surely true or almostsurely false, according to whether it's true in the random graph. So this almost-sure theory is decidable and complete.

## Exercise 9.

- (a) Show that  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Z}, S)$  has quantifier elimination. Here S(x) = x + 1.
- (b) Show that  $\operatorname{Th}(\mathbb{N}, S)$  doesn't have quantifier elimination.

**Exercise 10.** An abelian group is **torsion-free** if the identity element is the only element of finite order. An abelian group (A, +) is **divisible** if every element  $a \in A$  is an  $n^{\text{th}}$  multiple, for every n. That is, A is divisible if for every  $a \in A$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $b \in A$  such that

$$nb = \underbrace{b + \dots + b}_{n \text{ terms}} = a.$$

- (a) Suppose that G and H are nontrivial torsion-free divisible abelian groups,  $G \subseteq H$ ,  $\psi(\vec{v}, w)$  is quantifier-free,  $\vec{a} \in G$ ,  $b \in H$ , and  $H \models \psi(\vec{a}, b)$ . Prove that there is  $c \in G$  such that  $G \models \psi(\vec{a}, c)$ .
- (b) Use the following fact about torsion-free abelian groups to prove that the theory of divisible abelian groups (in the language {0, +, -}, so substructures of groups are subgroups) has q.e.

**Theorem.** Let G be a torsion-free abelian group. There is a torsion-free abelian group  $G^*$ , called the **divisible hull** of G, and an embedding  $i: G \to G^*$  such that if  $j: G \to H$  is any embedding of G into a torsion-free abelian group H, then there is  $h: G^* \to H$  such that  $j = h \circ i$ .